

Results for Contemporary Architectural Offices / 2016

Neptun code	Selected Topic	Submission	Character	Mark	Comment	
1	PKFL0M	NART / Galyatető	25.05.2016.	11 789	3	The review of the topic is more or less OK. But sources of the pictures are missing. The comparison is not relevant.
2	Q4VMID	Spora / Mertó4	29.05.2016.	18 800	5	Extremely detailed essay about both station. Use a lots of sources and lots of self-made photo. The text is too long, Your own views are much better, than the pure fact, You should only write that ones. (the wall caldding is not copper, its rusted certain steel) Over all it is very good writing.
3	PY8CGB	Dobai / SZÁMALK	30.05.2016.	12 579	4	Good structure, good source signs, the comparison is interesting (but not the most relevant). A detailed analysis is missing.
4	VQ4L52					No submission
5	AUO6AW	BLOKK / Hullám	30.05.2016.	9 400	5	Good structure, good theoretical background, but the there too many points, the essay is somehow falls a parts a little bit.
6	M6DBOO	FUTURA	26.05.2016.	10 994	2	The essay is full with copies !!! The comparison is good.
7	E6QDB2	NART / Galyatető	30.05.2016.	6 642	1	The comparison of the towers are interesting, but the review of the Galyatető is too sort. Futhermore the whole text is too short and the source of the information and the pictures are also missig.
8	C1I24S	Spora / Mertó4	30.05.2016.	11 215	2	The text is full with data form the sources. Almost there is no own opinion.
9	EX0VPY	Dobai / SZÁMALK	29.05.2016.	10 671	5	Nice design, nice structure, nice source signes, nice opinions, nice job.
10	GQNPVQ					No submission
11	DY0LPA					No submission
12	GQ446E	Dobai / SZÁMALK	30.05.2016.	10 535	2	There are lots of copy+paste text. There is no own opinion at all.
13	MV1DZJ					No submission
14	THKAWB	Spora / Mertó4	30.05.2016.	12 044	5	Very nice analysis specially about Sporaarchitecs. Nice personal writing.
15	HNAOUR	FUTURA	26.05.2016.	12 007	5	Good choice to use Kahn thesis. And also good the comparison with the Antoni Tapies Foundation Building. Nice writing.
16	Q03GBC	NART / Galyatető	29.05.2016.	10 290	5	Nice design, nice own opinion, nice comparison.
17	BHNUIH	Spora / Mertó4	30.05.2016.	10 684	5	Very interesting reading about Budapest-Rio De Janeiro, politics-architecure, city-contemporary architecture.
18	R5JKVQ					No submission
19	L8CKAY					No submission
20	PDVSCT					No submission
21	JBN7VI					No submission
22	CAPC29	Graphisoft / IBS	30.05.2016.	11 999	1	Full of copies. There no word about the topic, the IBS Residential Hall.
23	YO8KIN					No submission
24	C1NZ35	Dobai / SZÁMALK	30.05.2016.	9 918	5	Very good critic and comparison.
25	LR6XHI	NART / Galyatető	30.05.2016.	9 298	4	Somehow I understand the comparison, but the other parts of the essay is not enough detailed.
26	XTQDI2					No submission
27	JWPKWP	FUTURA	30.05.2016.	10 710	4	The review of the building is detailed. Own opinion, critic are missing.
28	F4LDZ6	Graphisoft / IBS	30.05.2016.	11 218	5	Good critic. The comparison is not the best, but ok.
29	ANNEPA	BLOKK / Hullám	30.05.2016.	10 788	4	The desing is nice, but extremely hard to read it! The essay is an interesting writing about City of Budapest from a view of an foreign architects. But there are only few words about the boat house.
30	BH6740					No submission
31	IVH5VX					No submission
32	B6ODZQ					No submission
33	KZWI50					No submission
34	HBHN36	Eiffel/Corvin	30.05.2016.	10 303	5	Nice essay. Detailed review of the building. Good opinions. The comparison is not match too much (yes, I know, we have already discussed it), but the information about the old Iranian houses is interes
35	ZPBAMG	Spora / Mertó4	30.05.2016.	9 700	4	The review of the stations are ok, but is use only the average informations. Really miss the own viewpoints and opinion.
36	GFPR6X					No submission
37	UC0EX3	NART / Galyatető	30.05.2016.	6 116	1	The review of the tower is too short. The comparison is not detailed, there are too much tower withouth any analysis. Altogether the whole text is too short also, does not fulfil the requirements.
38	ILJY0I	FCB/Juhász*	21.05.2016.	10 004	2	The essay has no goal. It is just a listing of the works of FCB Studio. Really poor text !!!
39	BF3OP0	Dobai / SZÁMALK	30.05.2016.	13 770	3	The review is more or less ok. But the text is not enough scientific and it is ful with misspells.
40	IHYW3F	Graphisoft / IBS	30.05.2016.	10 026	5	
41	A345SC					No submission
42	YR7QXN	Dobai / SZÁMALK	30.05.2016.	9 955	2	The SZAMALK building analysis is almost nothing. The review of Africa and african architecture is not relevant. Very poor essay.
43	IKSR6T	Graphisoft / IBS	30.05.2016.	8 975	5	Nice essay with good research. The review of the Your examples could be more detailed.
44	GOYVZK					No submission
45	QE6YSG	FUTURA	29.05.2016.	11 697	5	Nice essay with good own opinion, good structure and lots of research. I would read more about Proyecto Silos, but without it this essay is completed story.
46	Y04IKJ	Graphisoft / IBS	30.05.2016.	6 542	1	The text is full of copies. The sources of the essay are not signed. There is n't any word about the IBS building, that we had visited!
47	F3TLKZ					No submission
48	A65UJ1					No submission
49	V3B1BI	NART / Galyatető	30.05.2016.	12 285	5	
50	L0ISH4					No submission

51	LF2Y24	Dobai / SZÁMALK	30.05.2016.	10 898	3	The essay is more or less ok, but really miss the own opinions, and the source of the pictures.
52	W32KX1	Graphisoft / IBS	30.05.2016.	9 854	1	There is no word about the topic, about the IBS residential hall.
53	XWTJLC					No submission
54	KDJFOW					No submission
55	ENASSF	Graphisoft / IBS	29.05.2016.	12 343	5	Very nice analysis both of the park and the building. Nice own photos and detail photos.
56	B52915	Spora / Mertó4	30.05.2016.	13 265	3	The review of the station is ok, but really miss the own opinions, some kind of critic. The comparison is too much and too average. It would better choose only on similar project, an analyse it more detail
57	AT00JK					No submission
58	TBB3KJ	Graphisoft / IBS	29.05.2016.	10 105	5	Nice essay and comparison.
59	TFQC5B	Spoora / Mertó4	30.05.2016.	11 411	4	Very detailed historical background. The essay has a good structure. The review of the stations are ok, but really missing Your own opinion, and critic.
				388 830	3,64	

Marks: 5 5 - is the best mark
1 1 - is the worst mark

Topics: NART / Galyatető Review briefly the NARTARCHITECTS and its works, analyse their one or both projects at Galyatető in detail and compare it/they with a similar project from Your region.
Spóra / Mertó4 Review briefly the Spóraarchitects and its works, analyse Fővám square or / and Szt. Gellért square metrostation(s) and compare it/they with a similar project from Your region.
FUTURA Analyse FUTURA Science Centre in Mosonmagyaróvár and compare it with a similar project from Your region.
Graphisoft / IBS Review briefly the Graphisoft park, analyse the IBS Residence Hall in detail and compare ithe park with a similar project from Your region.
BLOKK / Hullám Analyse the "Hullám" Boat-house in detail and compare it with a similar project from Your region.
Dobai / SZÁMALK Analyse the "SZÁMALK" building in detail and compare it with a similar project from Your region.
FCB/Juhász* Review briefly the Failed Clegg Bradley Studios and its works, choose one of their project, that was presented by Ákos Juhász and analyse it. (2015 topic)
Schwarz/UTT* Review briefly the Urban Think Tank office and its works, choose one of the project, that was presented by Daniel Schwarz and analyse it. (2015 topic)
Saunders/Béres* Review briefly the Saunders Architecture Studio and its works, choose one of the project, that was presented by Attila Béres and analyse it. (2015 topic)

David Szabó
15 June 2016